



Empowerment of Lab Staff to Improve Appropriate Red Cell Use in Adults



Heather Clarke – Royal Derby Hospital Jo Shorthouse – NHS Blood and Transplant





Project Summary

- What's the project about?
- Why we did it?
- How we did it? Methods etc
- Who was involved?
- Results
- Conclusion



What and Why ?

- Implement a single unit/appropriate use protocol into Royal Derby Hospital
- Invest in staff: Increase overall knowledge, understanding around appropriate transfusion in both lab and clinical areas
- Encourage lab staff to look at the reasons for transfusion requests, check relevant patient results and increase their confidence to discuss an inappropriate request with the requester

What and Why?

- Improve patient outcomes and reduce the number of inappropriate red cell transfusions
- Improve compliance to NICE Blood Transfusion Quality Standard QS138 : Standard 3
- Improve compliance with Choosing Wisely campaigns in UK
 'Why give two when one will do?'



Initial actions/decisions.....

- Produce lab algorithm to support staff in decision making
- Which wards ? medical (stable non-bleeding patients)
- Which grades of lab staff?
- Production of training package
- Inform clinical staff on the wards about the project

Methods

Data collection:

- The following data collected pre and post implementation:
 - No. of red cell units requested
 - No. of single unit red cell requests
 - No. of red cell units issued
 - No. of red cell units transfused
 - No. of requests referred to TPs
- Also the no. of occasions where less red cell units were actually transfused than issued
- And..... the no. of occasions where single red cell unit transfusions took place even though more than one unit may have been originally requested.



Methods

Staff self-assessment:

Before initial training, post training and post implementation



Methods – Staff self-assessment

Post-Implementation Self-Assessment Questions

- 1. What are your thoughts on this project now?
- 2. Where are you currently on the jelly-baby tree?
- 3. How do you feel about clarifying / questioning a request for red cells?



4. Describe your reasons for your smiley choice above.



Methods

Lab staff training sessions/interactive workshops:

- Background to why appropriate use of blood components is important for *patient safety*
- PBM
- Causes and types of anaemia
- HCPC responsibilities
- Awareness of the important role of lab staff in the transfusion process and collaboration
- Interactive case studies
- Lab algorithm
- Empowerment and myth busting of barriers to questioning inappropriate requests

Algorithm for Reviewing Red Cell Requests



Empowerment to question inappropriate transfusion requests





University Hospitals of Derby and Burton NHS Foundation Trust

Myths to bust!





University Hospitals of Derby and Burton NHS Foundation Trust

Myth 1

'We're just here to provide a service – no questions asked'



Need to be a service which advises *and* questions:

We share a collective responsibility to ensure appropriate use of blood:

- 1. To help prevent patients receiving inappropriate transfusion... PATIENT SAFETY
- 2. To protect a vital and finite blood supply
- 3. To save money





Myth 2

'Doctors know more than us about blood transfusion'



- Clinical transfusion education in medical school and as FY1/2s
- Pick up practice on wards...good and bad
 - Non-haematology consultants & GPs can be 'out of date'
 - Trainee doctors reluctant to challenge consultant's authority
 - this is where you can help...



- Laboratory staff complete lengthy training and education in blood transfusion science
- Annual competencies, CPD programme, NEQAS
- Knowledge extensive in certain areas *but possibly lacking in clinical relevance*
 - Can offer valuable support and education
 - Can direct to guidelines, haematology advice





Myth 3

'I don't have the authority to question'

Facts

Know your rights and responsibilities

- BMS:
 - HCPC registration must take responsibility for own actions
- Medical staff:
 - GMC and medical liability insurance as above, but with extra cover
- Be aware of your place in the clinical pathway does the buck stop with you?
- Any avoidable delay in provision may result in patient harm



So what does that mean?

THIS IS IMPORTANT

- You have the authority to *discuss/question* a request, but...
- You do NOT have the authority to *refuse* it
- It's important they know you aren't saying 'No' you are just seeking advice or more information
- So...if you get a request that doesn't 'fit' the guidelines...







PRE – Implementation – no questioning taking place

	No. of XM requests	No. of units requested	No. of units Issued	No. of single unit XM requests	% of single unit XM requests	No. of units transfused	No. of referrals to TP
AUG 2018	221	425	425	51	23	396	0
SEPT 2018	306	549	549	97	32	498	0

POST- Implementation – appropriate questioning taking place

	No. of XM requests	No. of units requested	No. of units Issued	No. of single unit XM requests	% of single unit XM requests	No. of units transfused	No. of referrals to TP
JAN 2019	267	543	495	76	29	393	0
FEB 2019	226	432	404	70	31	318	0
MAR 2019	268	475	455	103	38	373	0



Comparison of Pre and Post Implementation Data





No. of units issued vs No. of units transfused











POST

PRE

% of single unit XM

Results

 Pre-implementation- of the units transfused an average of 34% became single unit transfusions even though more than one unit may have been originally requested

 Post-implementation- of the units transfused an average of 39% became single unit transfusions even though more than one unit may have been originally requested



Results



Additional information identified:

Pre- implementation – no questioning taking place

Out of 527 XM requests 208 (39%) resulted in less units being transfused than were issued

Which is good.....

Post-implementation – questioning taking place

Out of 761 XM requests 161 (21%) resulted in less units being transfused than were issued

Less units are now actually being requested post implementation- which is excellent ③





Results Staff self-assessment



Results

University Hospitals of Derby and Burton NHS Foundation Trust

Staff self-assessment:

Trainee BMS:

- Initial assessment: " Am a trainee BMS, not sure where my limits are when questioning doctors" (JB 7)
- Post training assessment: "more confident now I have the ideas and explanation of why we should question" (JB 10)
- Post implementation assessment: "I am happy to question requests that I feel are inappropriate and haven't had much push back from staff. I have noticed more single unit requests" (JB 10)

Associate Practitioner:

- Initial assessment: "Project is a good idea. Am reasonably confident in questioning" (JB 10)
- Post training assessment: "Yes I can do it! Great idea, now more confident in offering advice (JB 11)
- Post implementation assessment: "Feel more confident. Project worth implementing. Message seems to be getting across to clinical area" (JB15)





Results

Staff self-assessment:

Experienced BMS

- Initial assessment: "Interested in the project. Already have some experience in questioning (JB 10)
- Post training assessment: "Good ideas, will be beneficial to patients and clinical staff" (JB10)
- Post implementation assessment: "Good initiative: Drs responding well and are getting used to requesting 1 unit instead of 2 in iron deficiency cases. Seem to need to question requests less" (JB 15)

Senior BMS

- Initial assessment: "Useful project, giving staff the opportunity to increase in confidence" (JB15)
- Post training assessment: "Looking forward to it" (JB 15)
- Post implementation assessment: "Noticeable increase in confidence of staff to question. Whole lab finally moving away from the "no questioning culture" and are embracing the project" (JB 15)

Conclusion

The empowerment project has shown positive benefits for patient safety:

- A decrease in the overall number of transfusions taking place
- An increase in the number of single unit transfusions post implementation
- An increase in staff confidence to question inappropriate requests
- An increased awareness by lab staff of their essential role in the 'transfusion process'





Collaboration

- Working together is the key
- Stronger as a team with a common goal – best practice for best patient outcome

Team•WOrk: (noun) cooperative or combined effort of a group of persons working together as a team for a common cause.



Considerations

- This is an on-going project which needed to "bed in"
- It is an initiative that needs re-visiting
 - possible slippage in questioning with time
 - Clinical area..... new medics etc
- Production of infographic for training and keeping the project high on the agenda





Infographic

Appropriate Transfusion – Treat the Patient not the Number





University Hospitals of Derby and Burton NHS Foundation Trust

Any questions?

With thanks to: Kat Kacinova – TP Royal Derby Hospital Aimee Burtenshaw – TP Royal Derby Hospital Sandra Dodds – TP Royal Derby Hospital Trevor Harden – Senior BMS Royal Derby Hospital Odette Colgrave - NHSBT